arXiv:1605.01192v2 [math.GR] 16 Jun 2016

ADMITTING A COARSE EMBEDDING IS NOT PRESERVED UNDER GROUP EXTENSIONS

GOULNARA ARZHANTSEVA AND ROMAIN TESSERA

Abstract. We construct a finitely generated group which is an extension of two groups coarsely embeddable into Hilbert space but which itself does not coarsely embed into Hilbert space. Our construction also provides a new infinite monster group: the first example of a finitely generated group that does not coarsely embed into Hilbert space and yet does not contain a weakly embedded expander.

1. Introduction

We answer, in the negative, the following well-known question [DG03], see also [GK04, Section 3]:

Given two finitely generated groups coarsely embeddable into Hilbert space, does their extension necessary coarsely embed into Hilbert space?

The concept of coarse embedding was introduced by Gromov [Gro93, p. 218] in his investigation of the Novikov conjecture (1965) on the homotopy invariance of higher signatures for closed manifolds.

Definition 1.1 (Coarse embedding)**.** Let $(X_n, d_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of metric spaces and let (Y, d_Y) be a metric space. A sequence of maps $f_n\colon X_n \to Y$ is a *coarse embedding of* $(X_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *into* Y if there exist two proper functions $\rho, \gamma\colon [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x, y \in X_n$,

$$\rho(d_n(x,y)) \leqslant d_Y\left(f_n(x), f_n(y)\right) \leqslant \gamma(d_n(x,y)).$$

Applied to a constant sequence $X_n = G$, where G is a countable discrete group equipped with a proper left invariant metric (e.g. a finitely generated group endowed with the word length metric), this definition gives the notion of a group coarsely embeddable into a metric space. Every two proper left invariant metrics on a countable discrete group are known to be *coarsely equivalent* (i.e. the two metric spaces admit coarse embeddings into each other). Therefore, the property that G coarsely embeds into a metric space Y does not depend on the choice of such a metric on the group. In this paper, we focus on the case where Y is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46B85, 20F69, 22D10, 20E22.

Key words and phrases. Relative Kazhdan's property (T), Haagerup property, Gromov's a-T-menability, expander, coarse embeddings, coarse amenability.

The research of G.A. was partially supported by the ERC grant ANALYTIC no. 259527. The research of R.T. was partially supported by the ANR Blanc ANR-14-CE25-0004-01, acronym GAMME.

A countable discrete group coarsely embeddable into a Hilbert space satisfies the coarse Baum-Connes conjecture by a remarkable result of Yu [Yu00]. Recently, Kasparov and Yu established the strong Novikov conjecture with coefficients for every countable group coarsely embeddable into a Banach space from a wide class of Banach spaces, including Hilbert spaces, ℓ^p-spaces, and uniformly convex Banach spaces with certain unconditional bases [KY12]. These and other related deep results have generated an intense study of groups and metric spaces which are coarsely embeddable into Hilbert and Banach spaces.

Coarse embeddability of countable discrete groups into Hilbert space is usually investigated in comparison with the following properties: von Neumann's amenability; Haagerup property, also known as Gromov's a-T-menability; coarse amenability, equivalent to the existence of a topological amenable action of the group on a compact Hausdorff space (or to the exactness of the reduced C^*-algebra of the group). The implications between these properties are summarized as follows, see, for instance, [NY12]:



This diagram is rather informative and is at the origin of a lot of intensive research. Amenability and the Haagerup property require in their definitions an equivariance under the group action on itself by left multiplication. The right column properties are obtained by "forgetting" this equivariance. Amenability and coarse amenability have characterizations that involve the existence of appropriate functions with finite support. The Haagerup property and coarse embeddability relax this finite support condition into the support vanishing at infinity. Neither of the indicated implications can be reversed.

Permanence properties of these classes of groups are subject of thorough study. In particular, it is well-known that amenability and coarse amenability are preserved under taking group extensions [KW99]. In contrast, famous examples of semi-direct products with relative Kazhdan's property (T), such as $\mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ relative to $\mathbb{Z}^2$, show that, in general, the class of groups with the Haagerup property is not closed under taking group extensions. Therefore, whether or not coarse embeddability into Hilbert space survives while taking group extensions, is an intriguing question. Several positive results are known. Cyclic extensions of torsion-free $C'(1/8)$ small cancellation groups [Sel92], HNN-extensions and extensions of coarsely embeddable groups by coarsely amenable groups [DG03], restricted regular wreath products of coarsely embeddable groups and some restricted permutational wreath products of coarsely embeddable groups [dCSV12, Li10] and metric spaces [CD15] are known to coarsely embed into Hilbert space.

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1. *There exists a finitely generated group which is a split extension of an abelian group by a group with the Haagerup property that does not coarsely embed into Hilbert space. In particular, coarse embeddability into Hilbert space is not stable under taking group extensions.*

We specifically show that a certain restricted permutational wreath product $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \wr_G H$ does not coarsely embed into Hilbert space. Here, G is a finitely generated group with no coarse embedding into Hilbert space and H is a finitely generated group with the Haagerup property[1] which is not coarsely amenable and such that there is a surjective homomorphism $H \twoheadrightarrow G$.

Concrete groups G and H which are used for our construction are chosen among infinite monsters. G is the *special Gromov monster*: a finitely generated group which contains an expander graph (see Definition 2.5) isometrically in its Cayley graph; H is the *Haagerup monster*: a finitely generated group which satisfies the Haagerup property but is not coarsely amenable, chosen so that H contains a certain finite-sheeted covering of a suitable expander graph isometrically in its Cayley graph; see Section 2.1 for comments on the existence of such groups.

Our result yields additional counterexamples to Conjecture 1.4 from [dCSV12], where the authors expected that the Haagerup property is preserved under taking restricted permutational wreath products. This conjecture was first negated in [CI11, Corollary 3.4] by Chifan-Ioana whose results immediately imply that $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \wr_G H$ has not the Haagerup property or, in other words, has no *equivariant* coarse embeddings into Hilbert space. Theorem 1 gives a counterexample also to the non-equivariant counterpart of this conjecture.

In proving Theorem 1, we also answer, in the affirmative, the following open problem [GK04, Section 6], see also [NY12, Section 5.7] and [AT15, Section 8]:

Does there exist a finitely generated group which does not coarsely embed into Hilbert space and yet has no weakly embedded expander?

Definition 1.2 (Weak embedded expander)**.** Let $(X_n, d_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an expander graph and let (Y, d_Y) be a metric space. A sequence of maps $f_n \colon X_n \to Y$ is a *weak embedding of* $(X_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *into* Y if there exists $D > 0$ such that f_n are D-Lipschitz and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x\in X_n} \frac{|f_n^{-1}(f_n(x))|}{|X_n|} = 0.$$

To admit a weakly embedded expander is a well-known obstruction for a metric space to coarsely embed into Hilbert space [Mat97, Gro00, Gro03]. It is also crucial in Higson-Lafforgue-Skandalis work to refute the Baum-Connes conjecture with coefficients [HLS02].

In a recent paper [AT15], using the notion of relative expansion, we construct many regular *graphs* which do not coarsely embed into Hilbert space and admit no weakly embedded expanders. This gives the first examples of graphs with

[1] Actually, this group has an even stronger property: it acts properly on a CAT(0) cubical complex.

bounded degree not coarsely embeddable into Hilbert space and yet having no weakly embedded expander. The proof that the group $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \wr_G H$ does not coarsely embed into Hilbert space relies on the fact that it contains an embedded sequence of such relative expanders. As a by-product, we obtain the first example of a *group* which does not coarsely embed into Hilbert space and yet admits no weakly embedded expanders. Indeed, this follows from the fact that an extension of two groups which are coarsely embeddable into Hilbert space does not contain weakly embedded expanders [AT15, Proposition 2]. Our group $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \wr_G H$ is such an extension.

Theorem 2. *There exists a finitely generated group that does not coarsely embed into Hilbert space and yet does not contain weakly embedded expanders.*

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to the Erwin Schrödinger Institute for Mathematics and Physics for hospitality during "Measured group theory" program in 2016. We thank Damian Sawicki for signaling a point that we had overlooked in a previous version[2].

2. Graphs and infinite coarse monsters

2.1. **Graphs and graphical presentations.** Let S be a set and $S^{\pm} = S \sqcup S^{-}$, where S^{-} denotes the set of formal inverses $\{s^{-1} \mid s \in S\}$. A graph Γ is *S-labeled* if each edge has an orientation and each oriented edge has a label $s \in S^{\pm}$ so that the labels of opposite edges are formal inverses. A label of a path in an S-labeled graph is, by definition, a word in letters from $S^{\pm}$ which is the concatenation of labels of the edges along the path. A labelling is *reduced* if the labels of reduced paths are freely reduced words.

Definition 2.1 (Graphical presentation of a group)**.** The group defined by an S-labeled graph Γ is the group defined by the *graphical presentation*

$$G(\Gamma) = \langle S \mid R \rangle,$$

where R denotes the set of labels of all non-trivial simple closed paths in Γ.

Every S-generated group possesses such a graphical presentation: Γ can be taken to be a disjoint union of cycle graphs, S-labelled by the relator words.

The following observation is useful for our construction.

Lemma 2.2 (Quotients from coverings)**.** *Let $p\colon \widetilde{\Gamma} \to \Gamma$ be a covering of S-labeled graphs, i.e. a label-preserving graph covering. Then there exists a surjective homomorphism $G(\widetilde{\Gamma}) \twoheadrightarrow G(\Gamma)$ with the identity map $s \mapsto s$ on the generators.*

Proof. This is a graph covering so we have $\pi_1(\widetilde{\Gamma}) \overset{p_*}{\hookrightarrow} \pi_1(\Gamma)$. Since the covering is label-preserving, it follows from Definition 2.1, that all the relator words of $G(\widetilde{\Gamma})$ represent the identity element in $G(\Gamma)$. By classical von Dyck's theorem, the required surjection is immediate. □

[2]Namely, that the graphs $Cay(W_n, \Sigma_n)$ may not necessarily embed isometrically into $Cay(W, \Sigma)$, cf. Corollary 3.3.

We view a graph Γ as a sequence of its connected components $\Gamma = (\Gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ each of which is equipped with the edge-length metric. We focus on two specific graphs which are used in the construction of the above-mentioned infinite monster groups G and H: an expander and a graph with walls, respectively.

We begin with some auxiliary definitions. Recall that the *girth* of a graph is the edge-length of its shortest non-trivial cycle and the *diameter* of a graph is the greatest edge-length distance between any pair of its vertices.

Definition 2.3 (dg-bounded graph)**.** We say that a graph $\Gamma = (\Gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is *dg-bounded* if there exists a constant $D > 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\frac{\operatorname{diam}\Gamma_n}{\operatorname{girth}\Gamma_n} \leqslant D.$$

If Γ is with uniformly bounded degree, say at most $d > 0$, then the number of vertices $|\Gamma_n|$ satisfies $|\Gamma_n| \leqslant 1 + d + \cdots + d(d-1)^{\operatorname{diam}\Gamma_n - 1}$. If, in addition, Γ is dg-bounded, then $\operatorname{girth}\Gamma_n \geqslant \frac{1}{D}\operatorname{diam}\Gamma_n \geqslant \log_{d-1}|\Gamma_n| - \frac{2}{d}$. Thus, a dg-bounded graph with uniformly bounded degree satisfies $\operatorname{girth}\Gamma_n \to \infty$ whenever $|\Gamma_n| \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$.

In this paper, we consider graphs with uniformly bounded degree only.

Definition 2.4 (Cheeger constant)**.** Given a finite connected graph Γ with $|\Gamma|$ vertices and a subset $A \subseteq \Gamma$, denote by ∂A the set of edges between A and $\Gamma \setminus A$. The *Cheeger constant* of Γ is defined as

$$h(\Gamma) = \min_{1\leqslant|A|\leqslant|\Gamma|/2} \frac{|\partial A|}{|A|}.$$

Definition 2.5 (Expander)**.** An *expander* is a sequence $(\Gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of finite connected graphs with uniformly bounded degree, $|\Gamma_n| \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, and $h(\Gamma_n) \geqslant c$ uniformly over $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for some constant $c > 0$.

The next result is well-known, see, for example, [Roe03, Proposition 11.29].

Lemma 2.6. *No expander coarsely embeds into Hilbert space.*

Here is a celebrated example of a dg-bounded expander [Mar88, LPS88].

Example 2.7 (dg-bounded expander)*.* The Cayley graph $X^{p,q}$ of the projective general linear group $PGL_2(q)$ over the field of q elements for a particular set of $(p+1)$ generators, where p and q are distinct primes congruent to 1 modulo 4 with the Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = -1$, satisfies the following:

(1) $X^{p,q}$ is $(p+1)$ regular on $N = q(q^2-1)$ vertices;
(2) $\operatorname{girth} X^{p,q} \geqslant 4\log_p q - \log_p 4$;
(3) $(X^{p,q})_q$ is a family of Ramanujan graphs.

For a fixed prime p and each q as above, we set $\Gamma_q = X^{p,q}$. Then $(\Gamma_q)_q$ is an expander and $\operatorname{girth}\Gamma_q \to \infty$ as $q \to \infty$. The expander mixing lemma ensures that Γ_q is of diameter $O(\log N)$. Thus, $(\Gamma_q)_q$ is a dg-bounded expander. Moreover, it is a dg-bounded expander whose components are Cayley graphs.

In contrast to expanders, are sequences of *graphs with walls*: graphs where every edge belongs to a wall. A *wall* (or a *cut*) in a connected graph is a collection of edges such that removing all open edges of the collection decomposes the graph into exactly two connected components.

A distance between two vertices in the *wall pseudo-metric* on a graph with walls is the number of walls separating these vertices. Elementary examples of graphs with walls are: a tree and the 1-skeleton of the square tiling of the plane, with the wall pseudo-metric being the edge-length metric.

The following result is well-known, see, for example, [AGŠ12, Proposition 4.3].

Lemma 2.8. *Let* $\Gamma = (\Gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, *where each* Γ_n *be a graph with walls. Then, viewed with respect to the wall pseudo-metric,* $\Gamma = (\Gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *admits a coarse embedding into Hilbert space.*

Graphs with walls are examples of spaces with walls. For a set X and a family $\mathcal{W}$ of partitions, called *walls*, of X into two parts, the pair $(X, \mathcal{W})$ is a *space with walls* if every two distinct points of X are separated by finitely many walls, i.e. the distance between distinct points in the wall-pseudo metric is finite. A group acting properly isometrically on a space with walls satisfies the Haagerup property, see e.g. [CCJ+01, Section 1.2.7].

A natural way to produce a graph with walls is to take the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-homology covering of a *2-connected* graph (i.e. a graph where removing an edge does not disconnect the graph). Such a countably successive covering of the figure eight graph (or of a bouquet of finitely many cycle graphs) yields a regular *graph* which is coarsely embeddable into Hilbert space but is not coarsely amenable [AGŠ12]. One can also take the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-homology covering of each component of a given sequence $\Gamma = (\Gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, cf. [AO14, Example 3 and below].

Example 2.9 ($\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-homology covering of a dg-bounded graph). Let $\Gamma = (\Gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a dg-bounded graph such that each component Γ_n is a 2-connected graph. Let $\widetilde{\Gamma} = (\widetilde{\Gamma}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the *$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-homology covering* of Γ: each $\widetilde{\Gamma}_n$ is the regular covering of Γ_n whose the group of deck transformations is the $\mathrm{rank}(\pi_1(\Gamma_n))$-fold direct sum of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$'s. Then each $\widetilde{\Gamma}_n$ is the graph with walls [AGŠ12, Lemma 3.3]. For each edge $e \in E(\Gamma_n)$ and the covering map $p\colon \widetilde{\Gamma}_n \to \Gamma_n$, the wall w_e is defined by $w_e = p^{-1}(e) \subseteq E(\widetilde{\Gamma}_n)$ and $\{w_e \mid e \in E(\Gamma_n)\}$ provides the *wall structure* on $\widetilde{\Gamma}_n$ (meaning that each edge is contained in exactly one wall).

2.2. **Infinite coarse monster groups.** The dg-bounded graphs play a significant role in recent constructions of infinite *groups* containing prescribed graphs in their Cayley graphs. Indeed, dg-bounded graphs are particularly suited to carry labelings with various small cancellation conditions. Such labelings guarantee, in particular, the injectivity of the natural label-preserving graph morphism

$$\Gamma_0 \to Cay(G(\Gamma), S),$$

for each component Γ_0 of an S-labelled graph Γ, after choosing a base vertex in Γ_0 and its image in the Cayley graph $Cay(G(\Gamma), S)$ of the group it defines. This,

together with the requirements of a given small cancellation condition, allows to induce desirable coarse properties of the group $G(\Gamma)$ from those of the graph Γ. Here is a brief outline of two constructions of this type.

Gromov has introduced the *geometric small cancellation condition* [Gro03, Section 2], see also [AD08, Section 3]. A labeling of a dg-bounded expander $\Gamma = (\Gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ by a finite set of labels, chosen uniformly at random, satisfies the condition. This yields an almost quasi-isometric embedding of the dg-bounded expander into the Cayley graph $Cay(G(\Gamma), S)$ of the group it defines, and, also using Lemma 2.6, *Gromov's monster*: a finitely generated group admitting no coarse embeddings into Hilbert space [Gro03, AD08].

Arzhantseva-Osajda have introduced the *lacunary walling condition* [AO14, Definition 4.1] on graphs with walls $\Lambda = (\Lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, which includes the $C'(\overline{\lambda})$-*small cancellation condition* on the labeling. Under even a weaker variant of this small cancellation condition (cf. Definition 2.11 below), they observe that each component Λ_0 of Λ embeds isometrically into the Cayley graph $Cay(G(\Lambda), S)$ [AO14, Lemma 2.1]. Using the lacunary walling condition, they extend walls from each component Λ_n of $\Lambda = (\Lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ to $Cay(G(\Lambda), S)$, prove that the wall pseudo-metric on $Cay(G(\Lambda), S)$ is bi-Lipschitz equivalent to the graph metric, and, hence, in particular, the action of $G(\Lambda)$ on the resulting space with walls is proper [AO14, Theorem 1.1, Theorem 4.7, and Theorem 5.1]. Applied to a suitable graph, this gives the existence of the *Haagerup monster*[3]: a finitely generated group with the Haagerup property, which is not coarsely amenable.

Theorem 2.10. [AO14, Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 5.1] *Let $\Lambda = (\Lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a uniformly bounded degree graph with all vertex degrees at least 3, satisfying the lacunary walling condition. Then $G(\Lambda)$ acts properly on a space with walls (equivalently, acts properly on a CAT(0) cubical complex) but is not coarsely amenable. In particular, $G(\Lambda)$ has the Haagerup property but is not coarsely amenable, i.e. $G(\Lambda)$ is the Haagerup monster.*

A concrete example of a graph $\Lambda = (\Lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with the lacunary walling condition is constructed by taking the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-homology covering of a bg-bounded graph $\Gamma = (\Gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $\operatorname{girth}\Gamma_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, under the assumption that there exists a labeling of Γ with the $C'(\overline{\lambda})$-small cancellation condition [AO14, Definition 4.1 and Section 7].

Here is a familiar weaker variant of the $C'(\overline{\lambda})$-small cancellation condition, see, for instance, [AO14, Section 2]. A path is a *piece* in an S-labeled graph $\Gamma = (\Gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ if there are Γ_n and Γ_m containing this path in two essentially distinct ways (i.e. not equal by a label-preserving graph isomorphism $\Gamma_n \to \Gamma_m$).

Definition 2.11 ($C'(\lambda)$-small cancellation condition)**.** Given $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, an S-labeled graph $\Gamma = (\Gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies the $C'(\lambda)$-*small cancellation condition* if the labelling is reduced and every piece appearing in Γ_n has length strictly less than $\lambda \operatorname{girth}\Gamma_n$.

The next lemma is now standard, see, for instance, [AO14, Lemma 2.1], where $\lambda \leqslant 1/24$ since the setting is more general; cf. [Oll06, Theorem 1, (6)], where Γ is

[3]This terminology is to emphasize the eccentricity of this group with the Haagerup property.

assumed to be finite and [Gru15, Section 3.2], where a weaker requirement on the length of pieces is explored.

Lemma 2.12. *Let $\Gamma = (\Gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an S-labeled graph with the $C'(\lambda)$-small cancellation condition for $\lambda \leqslant 1/6$. Then, for each component Γ_0 of Γ, the label-preserving graph morphism $\Gamma_0 \to Cay(G(\Gamma), S)$ is an isometric embedding.*

The following result was proved through probabilistic arguments by Osajda.

Theorem 2.13. [Osa14, Theorem 1] *Let $\Gamma = (\Gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a uniformly bounded degree dg-bounded graph with $\operatorname{girth}\Gamma_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Then, for every $\lambda > 0$, there exists a $C'(\lambda)$-small cancellation labeling of a subsequence $(\Gamma_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of Γ, over a finite set of labels*[4].

Applied to a dg-bounded expander, this theorem gives, via Lemma 2.12, the existence of the *special Gromov monster*: a finitely generated group which contains in its Cayley graph an isometrically embedded expander [Osa14, Theorem 4].

Albeit the $C'(\lambda)$-small cancellation condition is weaker than the above-mentioned $C'(\overline{\lambda})$-small cancellation condition, combined with a *proper* lacunary walling condition [Osa14, Definition 5.1], it gives, following arguments of [AO14, Section 4], the coarse equivalence between the wall pseudo-metric on $Cay(G(\Lambda), S)$ and its graph metric. Then, as in Theorem 2.10, $G(\Lambda)$ acts properly on a space with walls and, in particular, $G(\Lambda)$ has the Haagerup property [Osa14, Theorem 5.6. and Theorem 3].

A concrete example of a graph $\Lambda = (\Lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with the proper lacunary walling condition is constructed as in [AO14, Section 7] by taking a finite-sheeted covering (to enlarge the girth of the base graphs) followed by the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-homology covering (to produce the walls) of a bg-bounded graph $\Gamma = (\Gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $\operatorname{girth}\Gamma_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ [Osa14, Lemma 6.1]. The assumption that there exists a labeling of Γ with the $C'(\lambda)$-small cancellation condition is now guaranteed by Theorem 2.13. (We do not detail the lacunary walling conditions as we do not use them below.)

Here is the outcome of [AO14, Osa14] summarized in a suitable form.

Theorem 2.14. *Let $\Gamma = (\Gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a uniformly bounded degree dg-bounded graph with all vertex degrees at least 3 and $|\Gamma_n| \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Then there exist $\lambda \in (0, 1/24]$, a $C'(\lambda)$-small cancellation labeling of a subsequence $(\Gamma_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of Γ, over a finite set S of labels, and a graph $\Lambda = (\Lambda_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ with a finite-sheeted regular label-preserving graph covering $p\colon (\Lambda_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \to (\Gamma_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ so that $G(\Lambda)$ is the Haagerup monster.*

Observe that the labeling of a covering graph induced from a reduced labeling of the base graph is reduced (e.g. by the unique lifting property of the covering spaces). Also, the labeling from Theorem 2.13 actually restricts the length of a wider family of pieces in $\Gamma' = (\Gamma_{n_k})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, that of graphs appearing in two distinct ways (not only of paths that occur in two essentially distinct ways). Taking a covering then induces the $C'(\lambda)$-small cancellation labeling of $\Lambda = (\Lambda_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, in

[4]The probabilistic argument requires that $\lambda\operatorname{girth}\Gamma_n > 1$ and $\operatorname{girth}\Gamma_n < \operatorname{girth}\Gamma_{n+1}$, hence passing to a subsequence. Many subsequences (and possibly Γ itself) satisfy this mild assumption.

the sense of Definition 2.11. This is because essentially distinct (not equal by a deck transformation) paths in Λ project under the graph covering onto distinct paths in Γ', cf. [Gru15, Remark 1.12]. Then Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.12, the fact that the lengths of pieces remain the same in coverings, and the preceding theorem, applied to a dg-bounded expander, give:

Proposition 2.15. *Let $\Gamma = (\Gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a dg-bounded expander, then there exist a reduced S-labeling of a subsequence $\Gamma' = (\Gamma_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of Γ, for a finite set S, and a graph $\Lambda = (\Lambda_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ with a finite-sheeted regular label-preserving graph covering $p\colon (\Lambda_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \to (\Gamma_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ so that:*

- *$G := G(\Gamma')$ is the special Gromov monster;*
- *$H := G(\Lambda)$ is the Haagerup monster;*
- *For each component Γ_0 of Γ', the label-preserving graph morphism $\Gamma_0 \to Cay(G, S)$ is an isometric embedding;*
- *For each component Λ_0 of Λ, the label-preserving graph morphism $\Lambda_0 \to Cay(H, S)$ is an isometric embedding;*
- *There is a surjective homomorphism $H \twoheadrightarrow G$ with the identity map $s \mapsto s$ on the generators.*

If $\Gamma = (\Gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a dg-bounded expander whose components are Cayley graphs, then we can ensure that the components of $\Lambda = (\Lambda_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ are Cayley graphs as well.

Proposition 2.16. *Let $\Gamma = (\Gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a dg-bounded expander with $\Gamma_n = Cay(G_n, S_n)$ for a group G_n generated by S_n, then there exist a reduced S-labeling of a subsequence $\Gamma' = (\Gamma_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of Γ, for a finite set S, and a graph $\Lambda = (\Lambda_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ with a finite-sheeted regular label-preserving, with respect to S, graph covering $p\colon (\Lambda_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \to (\Gamma_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that all the conclusions of Proposition 2.15 hold and, in addition,*

- *$\Lambda_k = Cay(H_k, S_{n_k})$ for a group H_k generated by S_{n_k}, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$;*
- *There is a surjective homomorphism $H_k \twoheadrightarrow G_{n_k}$ with the identity map on the generators S_{n_k};*
- *The S-labeled graph Γ_{n_k} (respectively, the S-labeled graph Λ_k) is isometric to $Cay(G_{n_k}, S_{n_k})$ (respectively, to $Cay(H_k, S_{n_k})$).*

Proof. A covering is regular if and only if the fundamental group of the covering graph is a normal subgroup in the fundamental group of the base graph. A graph is a Cayley graph (with respect to ℓ generators) if and only if it is a regular graph covering of a bouquet (of ℓ cycle graphs). Since Γ_n is a Cayley graph, there exists a regular graph covering $\Gamma_n \to \Omega_n$, where Ω_n is the bouquet of $|S_n|$ cycle graphs. Let $p\colon (\Lambda_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \to (\Gamma_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a finite-sheeted regular graph covering given by Proposition 2.15. We have $\pi_1(\Lambda_k) \trianglelefteq \pi_1(\Gamma_{n_k}) \trianglelefteq \pi_1(\Omega_{n_k})$. This does not give the required $\pi_1(\Lambda_k) \trianglelefteq \pi_1(\Omega_{n_k})$. An observation now is that instead of an arbitrary finite-sheeted regular covering of Γ followed by the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-homology covering (that we alluded to above Theorem 2.14), we take finitely many times (same for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$) the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-homology covering (first, to sufficiently enlarge the girth of the base graphs, then to produce walls). This amounts to take finitely many times the

subgroup generated by all the squares of elements[5] of the fundamental group of the base graph, cf. [AGŠ12]. Such a subgroup is characteristic. Being characteristic is transitive. This yields a characteristic subgroup $\pi_1(\Lambda_k) := ((\pi_1(\Gamma_{n_k})^{(2)})^{(2)})^{\cdots(2)} \trianglelefteq \pi_1(\Gamma_{n_k})$, whence $\pi_1(\Lambda_k) \trianglelefteq \pi_1(\Omega_{n_k})$ as required. Thus, Λ_k is a Cayley graph for a group H_k on $|S_{n_k}|$ generators.

A surjective homomorphism $H_k \twoheadrightarrow G_{n_k}$ with the identity map on the generators S_{n_k} does exist by construction. In detail, one can use Lemma 2.2 and an observation that a graphical presentation given by a Cayley graph of a group defines this group itself, that is, $G(Cay(G_n, S_n)) = G_n$ and $G(Cay(H_k, S_{n_k})) = H_k$ [Gru15, Example 1.2].

Thus, each Γ_{n_k} has two labelings, by S and by S_{n_k}. Since both labelings are reduced the corresponding metric spaces are both isometric to Γ_{n_k} equipped with the edge-length metric. The labeling of Λ_k is induced from the corresponding labeling of Γ_{n_k} through the graph covering, whence the same conclusion for Λ_k. □

3. Wreath products

Definition 3.1 (Restricted permutational wreath product)**.** Let A and B be finitely generated groups and let $p\colon B \twoheadrightarrow Q$ be a surjective homomorphism. Then the *restricted permutational wreath product* of A and B through Q is the split extension

$$A \wr_Q B = \bigoplus_Q A \rtimes B,$$

where $\bigoplus_Q A$ is the group of finitely supported functions $\phi\colon Q \to A$ with the pointwise multiplication, and B acts on $\bigoplus_Q A$ by permuting the indices by multiplication on the left.

Let U and V be finite generating sets of A and B, respectively. Then $\{(\delta_u, 1_B)\colon u \in U\} \cup \{(\mathbf{1}, v)\colon v \in V\}$ generate $A \wr_Q B$, where $\delta_u(q) = u$ if $q = 1_Q$ and $\delta_u(q) = 1_A$ otherwise, and $\mathbf{1}(q) = 1_A$ for all $q \in Q$. We denote such a generating set briefly by $\{\delta_u\colon u \in U\} \cup V$.

We consider groups G and H given by Proposition 2.15 applied to a dg-bounded expander which is a sequence of finite Cayley graphs; see, for instance, Example 2.7 for such an expander and Proposition 2.16 for additional properties of such G and H. We denote by S and T their respective finite generating sets[6]. Our group in Theorem 1 is the restricted permutational wreath product of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and H through its quotient G. We denote this group by

$$W = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \wr_G H.$$

We consider the following generating subset $\Sigma = \{\delta_{1_G}\} \cup T$ of W.

The Cayley graph $Cay(G, S)$ contains a sequence of subgraphs isometric to Cayley graphs $Cay(G_n, S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ forming a dg-bounded expander[7] and $Cay(H, T)$ contains a sequence of subgraphs isometric to Cayley graphs $Cay(H_n, T_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such

[5]For a group K, we denote by $K^{(2)} \trianglelefteq K$ the subgroup generated by the squares of elements of K.

[6]We use a distinct letter T for generators of H, for the generality of the argument.

[7]e.g. with respect to S_n with $|S_n| = p + 1$ if we work with the expander from Example 2.7.

that the restriction of the projection $H \twoheadrightarrow G$ to H_n identifies with a surjective homomorphism $H_n \twoheadrightarrow G_n$ mapping $T_n \mapsto S_n$. We shall denote

$$W_n = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \wr_{G_n} H_n, \text{ and } \Sigma_n = \{\delta_{1_{G_n}}\} \cup T_n.$$

We have the following easy fact.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\pi\colon K \twoheadrightarrow K'$ be a surjective homomorphism of two finitely generated groups, let V be a finite generating subset of K, and denote $V' = \pi(V)$. Assume that $Cay(K, V)$ contains a copy of some Cayley graph $Cay(L, U)$ as a subgraph, that there exists a group L' and a bijection $f\colon \pi(L) \to L'$, such that $f \circ \pi$ defines a surjective homomorphism $L \twoheadrightarrow L'$. Then $Cay(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \wr_{L'} L, \{\delta_{1_{L'}}\} \cup U)$ embeds as a subgraph of $Cay(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \wr_{K'} K, \{\delta_{1_{K'}}\} \cup V)$.*

Proof. To simplify notation, we identify $Cay(L, U)$ (resp. $Cay(L', U')$) as a subgraph of $Cay(K, V)$ (resp. of $Cay(K', V')$). Let us first describe the embedding at the level of vertices. To every pair $(\phi, l) \in \bigoplus_{L'} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rtimes L$, we associate the element $(\Phi, l) \in \bigoplus_{K'} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rtimes K$, where $\Phi = \phi$ in restriction to L', and $\Phi = 0$ elsewhere. Two vertices (ϕ_1, l_1) and (ϕ_2, l_2) are linked by an edge in $Cay(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \wr_{L'} L, \{\delta_{1_{L'}}\} \cup U)$ if and only if either $l_1 = l_2$ and ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 differ exactly at $\pi(l_1)$, or if $\phi_1 = \phi_2$, and l_1 and l_2 are neighbors in (L, U). Since an analogous statement holds for two vertices of $Cay(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \wr_{K'} K, \{\delta_{1_{K'}}\} \cup V)$, we deduce that (Φ_1, l_1) and (Φ_2, l_2) are also neighbors in this Cayley graph. □

Corollary 3.3. *The graphs $Cay(W_n, \Sigma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ embed as subgraphs of $Cay(W, \Sigma)$.*

4. No coarse embedding into a Hilbert space

This section is dedicated to the proof that $Cay(W, \Sigma)$ does not coarsely embed into a Hilbert space. This relies on the following *relative* Poincaré inequality satisfied by the sequence of graphs $Cay(W_n, \Sigma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. In what follows, $\mathcal{H}$ denotes a Hilbert space, $\|\cdot\|_2$ its norm, and $B(v, r)$ the ball of radius $r > 0$ centered at $v \in \mathcal{H}$.

Theorem 4.1. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that every function $f\colon W_n \to \mathcal{H}$ satisfies*

$$\frac{1}{|X_n|} \sum_{(x,y)\in W_n \times X_n} \|f(x) - f(xy)\|_2^2 \leqslant C \sum_{(x,\sigma)\in W_n\times\Sigma_n} \|f(x) - f(x\sigma)\|_2^2,$$

where $X_n = \{\delta_g,\ g \in G_n\} \hookrightarrow W_n$.

Corollary 4.2. *There exists a constant $D > 0$ such that every 1-Lipschitz map $f\colon W \to \mathcal{H}$ satisfies*

$$\sup_{v\in\mathcal{H}} |f^{-1}(B(v, D))| = \infty.$$

In particular, W does not coarsely embed into a Hilbert space.

Proof of Corollary 4.2. By Corollary 3.3, we view $Cay(W_n, \Sigma_n)$ as a subgraph of $Cay(W, \Sigma)$. Therefore, f induces a 1-Lipschitz map from W_n to $\mathcal{H}$. We deduce

from Theorem 4.1 that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{|X_n||W_n|} \sum_{(x,y)\in W_n\times X_n} \|f(x) - f(xy)\|_2^2 \leqslant C|\Sigma_n|,$$

from which we deduce that there exists $x \in W_n$ such that

$$\frac{1}{|X_n|} \sum_{y\in X_n} \|f(x) - f(xy)\|_2^2 \leqslant C|\Sigma_n|.$$

The sizes $|\Sigma_n|$ are bounded above, uniformly over $n \in \mathbb{N}$, e.g. by $|\Sigma|$. Take a constant $D > 0$, independent of n, such that $D \geqslant \sqrt{2C|\Sigma_n|}$. The above inequality implies that there exists a subset $Y_n \subseteq W_n$ with $|Y_n| \geqslant |X_n|/2$ and such that for all $y \in Y_n$, $f(y)$ lies in $B(f(x), D) \subseteq \mathcal{H}$. Since the cardinality of Y_n tends to infinity, this proves the corollary. □

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Consider the right regular representation ρ_n of the group W_n on $\ell^2(W_n, \mathcal{H})$, i.e. $\rho_n(w)f = f(\cdot w)$ for $w \in W_n$. Then the relative Poincaré inequality can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{|X_n|} \sum_{y\in X_n} \|f - \rho_n(y)f\|_2^2 \leqslant C \sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma_n} \|f - \rho_n(\sigma)f\|_2^2.$$

Note that $w \mapsto \psi(w) = \|f - \rho_n(w)f\|_2^2$ defines a conditionally negative definite function[8] on W_n. Hence the previous inequality, and therefore Theorem 4.1, is a consequence of the following inequality, valid for all conditionally negative definite functions ψ on W_n

$$\sup_{y\in X_n} \psi(y) \leqslant C \sup_{\sigma\in\Sigma_n} \psi(\sigma). \tag{4.1}$$

On the other hand, let us interpret the fact that $Cay(G_n, S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an expander in terms of positive definite functions on G_n. Set $r = |S_n|$ and consider the projection from the free group $F_r \twoheadrightarrow G_n$, whose kernel is denoted by N_n. Recall that $Cay(G_n, S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ being an expander is equivalent to the fact that F_r has Property (τ) with respect to the sequence $(N_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ [Lub94, Theorem 4.3.2]. This condition says that the representations factoring through some G_n admit a uniform, over $n \in \mathbb{N}$, Kazhdan constant. In terms of positive definite functions, this implies the existence of $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that for every n, and every positive definite function ϕ on G_n such that $\inf_{s\in S_n} |\phi(s)| \geqslant 1 - \delta$, we have $\inf_{g\in G_n} |\phi(g)| \geqslant \varepsilon$. Therefore, (4.1) follows from Proposition 4.3 below.

□

Proposition 4.3. *Given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, there exists $C > 0$ such that the following holds. Let A be an abelian group, K_0 a group, and consider a homomorphism $\alpha\colon K_0 \to Aut(A)$. Consider another group K with a surjective homomorphism $\pi\colon K \twoheadrightarrow K_0$, and denote $\bar{\alpha} = \alpha \circ \pi$. Let U be a generating subset of K, and let $U_0 = \pi(U)$. Assume that*

[8] See e.g. [CCJ+01, Section 1.1 and Section 2.1] for the definition and the characterization of the Haagerup property using such functions.

for every positive definite function ϕ on K_0 satisfying $\inf_{u\in U_0} |\phi(u)| \geqslant 1-\delta$, we have $\inf_{k\in K_0} |\phi(k)| \geqslant \varepsilon$. Then, for every $a \in A$, every generating subset V of $L = A \rtimes_{\bar\alpha} K$ containing a and U, and every conditionally negative definite function ψ on L, we have

$$\sup_{y\in\bar\alpha(K)(a)} \psi(y) \leqslant C \sup_{v\in V} \psi(v).$$

Proof. Let us start with a restatement of [CI11, Theorem 3.1] in terms of positive definite functions.

Lemma 4.4. *Given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, there exist ε' and $\delta' > 0$ such that the following holds. Let A be an abelian group, K_0 a group, and consider a homomorphism $\alpha\colon K_0 \to Aut(A)$. Consider another group K with a surjective homomorphism $\pi\colon K \twoheadrightarrow K_0$, and denote $\bar\alpha = \alpha\circ\pi$. Let U be a generating subset of K, and let $U_0 = \pi(U)$. Assume that for every positive definite function ϕ on K_0 satisfying $\inf_{u\in U_0} |\phi(u)| \geqslant 1-\delta$, we have $\inf_{k\in K_0} |\phi(k)| \geqslant \varepsilon$. Then, for every $a \in A$, every generating subset V of $L = A \rtimes_{\bar\alpha} K$ containing a and U, and every positive definite function ϕ' on L satisfying $\inf_{v\in V} |\phi'(v)| \geqslant 1-\delta'$, we have $\inf_{y\in\bar\alpha(K)(a)} |\phi'(y)| \geqslant \varepsilon'$.*

The fact that ε' and δ' only depend on ε and δ, and not on the particular group L is important for our purposes: this follows from the proof of [CI11, Theorem 3.1]. In order to conclude, we use the following classical lemma.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$. Let F be a group and V be a finite generating subset of F, and X be a subset of F. Assume that for every positive definite function ϕ on F satisfying $\inf_{v\in V} |\phi(v)| \geqslant 1-\delta$, we have $\inf_{x\in X} |\phi(x)| \geqslant \varepsilon$. Then every conditionally negative definite function ψ on F satisfies*

$$\sup_{x\in X} \psi(x) \leqslant \frac{-\log\varepsilon}{\delta} \sup_{v\in V} \psi(v).$$

Proof. Clearly we can assume that ψ is non-zero. In particular, it is non-zero on the generating set V. We normalize ψ so that $\sup_{v\in V} \psi(v) = 1$, and consider the positive definite function $\phi = \exp(-\delta\psi)$. We have that $\inf_{v\in V} |\phi(v)| \geqslant e^{-\delta} \geqslant 1-\delta$. Therefore, $\inf_{x\in X} |\phi(x)| \geqslant \varepsilon$, from which we deduce the lemma. □

Applying Lemma 4.5 to $F = L$ now yields the conclusion of Proposition 4.3 with $C = \frac{-\log\varepsilon'}{\delta'}$. □

5. Further questions and conjectures

Our main result, Theorem 1, provides a finitely generated example. The next question is natural and has also an interest in the setting of the Novikov conjecture. Observe that being an extension of two groups with the Haagerup property, our group $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \wr_G H$ satisfies the strong Baum-Connes conjecture (which is strictly stronger than the Baum-Connes conjecture with coefficients [MN06]).

Question 5.1. Does there exist a finitely *presented* group that does not coarsely embed into a Hilbert space and yet does not contain a weakly embedded expander?

In positive direction,

Question 5.2 ([DG03])**.** Does a central extension of $\mathbb{Z}$ by a coarsely embeddable group admit a coarse embedding into a Hilbert space?

From the quantitative side, given a dg-bounded expander $\Gamma = (\Gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, Hume proves the existence of $2^{\aleph_0}$ expanders $\Gamma^r = (\Gamma^r_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$, such that there is no *regular*[9] map $\Gamma^r \to \Gamma^{r'}$ for $r \neq r'$ [Hum14]. Namely, given $M, N \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $N \setminus M$ infinite, he shows (up to passing to a subsequence so that $|\Gamma_{n+1}|/|\Gamma_n| \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$) that there is no regular map from $\Gamma^N = (\Gamma_n)_{n\in N}$ to $\Gamma^M = (\Gamma_n)_{n\in M}$. We observe that taking finite-sheeted graph coverings as in Proposition 2.16 provides $2^{\aleph_0}$ graphs with walls such that there is no regular map from $\Lambda^N = (\Lambda_n)_{n\in N}$ to $\Lambda^M = (\Lambda_n)_{n\in M}$.

Taking $G(\Gamma_N)$ defined by Γ_N (up to passing to a subsequence such that girth $\Gamma_{n+1} > 2|\Gamma_n|$ as $n \to \infty$), gives $2^{\aleph_0}$ special Gromov monsters G_r, $r \in \mathbb{R}$, such that there is no regular (hence, coarse or quasi-isometric embedding) map $G_r \to G_{r'}$ whenever $r \neq r'$ [Hum14, Theorem 2.9]. Inspired by this result and our observation above, we have the following

Conjecture 5.3. There exists $2^{\aleph_0}$ regular equivalence classes of Haagerup monsters.

Conjecture 5.4. There exists $2^{\aleph_0}$ regular equivalence classes of counterexamples as constructed in our Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

References

[AD08] G. Arzhantseva and T. Delzant, *Examples of random groups*, preprint, available on authors' websites (2008).

[AGŠ12] G. Arzhantseva, E. Guentner, and J. Špakula, *Coarse non-amenability and coarse embeddings*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **22** (2012), no. 1, 22–36.

[AO14] G. Arzhantseva and D. Osajda, *Graphical small cancellation groups with the Haagerup property*, arXiv:1404.6807 (2014).

[AT15] G. Arzhantseva and R. Tessera, *Relative expanders*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **25** (2015), no. 2, 317–341.

[CD15] Ch. Cave and D. Dreesen, *Embeddability of generalised wreath products*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **91** (2015), no. 2, 250–263.

[CCJ+01] P.-A. Cherix, M. Cowling, P. Jolissaint, P. Julg, and A. Valette, *Groups with the Haagerup property (Gromov's a-T-menability)*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 197, Birkhaüser Verlag, Basel, 2001.

[CI11] I. Chifan and A. Ioana, *On Relative property (T) and Haagerup's property*, Transactions of the AMS **363** (2011), 6407–6420.

[dCSV12] Y. de Cornulier, Y. Stalder, and A. Valette, *Proper actions of wreath products and generalizations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc **364** (2012), 3159–3184.

[DG03] M. Dadarlat and E. Guentner, *Constructions preserving Hilbert space uniform embeddability of discrete groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (2003), no. 8, 3253–3275.

[Gro93] M. Gromov, *Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups*, Geometric group theory, Vol. 2 (Sussex, 1991), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 182, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993, pp. 1–295.

[Gro00] ———, *Spaces and questions*, Geom. Funct. Anal. (2000), 118–161. GAFA 2000 (Tel Aviv, 1999).

[Gro03] ———, *Random walk in random groups*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **13** (2003), no. 1, 73–146.

[Gru15] D. Gruber, *Infinitely presented graphical small cancellation groups: Coarse embeddings, acylindrical hyperbolicity, and subgroup constructions*, PhD Thesis, University of Vienna (2015).

[9] A map between graphs is *regular* if it is Lipschitz and pre-images of vertices have uniformly bounded cardinality. It is a far generalization of coarse, and so, of quasi-isometric, embeddings.

[GK04] E. Guentner and J. Kaminker, *Geometric and analytic properties of groups*, in: Noncommutative geometry, Ed. by S. Doplicher and R. Longo, Lecture Notes Math. **1831** (2004), 253–262.

[HLS02] N. Higson, V. Lafforgue, and G. Skandalis, *Counterexamples to the Baum-Connes conjecture*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **12** (2002), no. 2, 330–354.

[Hum14] D. Hume, *A continuum of expanders*, arXiv:1410.0246 (2014).

[KY12] G. Kasparov and G. Yu, *The Novikov conjecture and geometry of Banach spaces*, Geom. Topol. **16** (2012), no. 3, 1859–1880.

[KW99] E. Kirchberg and S. Wassermann, *Permanence properties of C^*-exact groups*, Doc. Math. **4** (1999).

[Li10] S. Li, *Compression bounds for wreath products*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), no. 8, 2701–2714.

[Lub94] A. Lubotzky, *Discrete groups, expanding graphs and invariant measures*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 125, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1994. With an appendix by Jonathan D. Rogawski.

[LPS88] A. Lubotzky, R. Phillips, and P. Sarnak, *Ramanujan graphs*, Combinatorica **8** (1988), no. 3, 261–277.

[Mar88] G. A. Margulis, *Explicit group-theoretic constructions of combinatorial schemes and their applications in the construction of expanders and concentrators*, Problemy Peredachi Informatsii **24** (1988), no. 1, 51–60 (Russian); English transl., Problems Inform. Transmission **24** (1988), no. 1, 39–46.

[Mat97] J. Matoušek, *On embedding expanders into ℓ_p spaces*, Israel J. Math. **102** (1997), 189–197.

[MN06] R. Mayer and R. Nest, *The Baum-Connes conjecture via localisation of categories*, Topology **45** (2006), no. 2, 209–259.

[NY12] P. Nowak and G. Yu, *Large scale geometry*, Zürich: European Mathematical Society (EMS), 2012.

[Oll06] Y. Ollivier, *On a small cancellation theorem of Gromov*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **13** (2006), no. 1, 75–89.

[Osa14] D. Osajda, *Small cancellation labellings of some infinite graphs and applications*, arXiv:1406.5015 (2014).

[Roe03] J. Roe, *Lectures on coarse geometry*, AMS University Lecture Series, vol. 31, 2003.

[Sel92] Z. Sela, *Uniform embeddings of hyperbolic groups in Hilbert spaces*, Israel J. Math. **80** (1992), no. 1-2, 171–181.

[Yu00] G. Yu, *The coarse Baum-Connes conjecture for spaces which admit a uniform embedding into Hilbert space*, Invent. Math. **139** (2000), no. 1, 201–240.

Universität Wien, Fakultät für Mathematik, Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1, 1090 Wien, Austria.

E-mail address: goulnara.arzhantseva@univie.ac.at

Laboratoire de Mathmatiques d'Orsay, Univ. Paris-Sud, CNRS, Universit Paris-Saclay, 91405 Orsay, France.

E-mail address: romain.tessera@math.u-psud.fr